



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,353	12	/27/2000	Jainendra Kumar	CISCO-3479	8818	
75	90	07/12/2004		EXAMINER		
Kenneth D'Ale			LIPMAN, JACOB			
Sierra Patent Gr P.O. Box 6149	oup, Ltd	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Stateline, NV 89449				2134	1	
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004	Ц	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

ge

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
, ,	09/751,353	KUMAR, JAINENDRA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacob Lipman	2134
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		th the correspondence address
Period for Reply	LV IO OET TO EVEIDE AM	ONTH/O) FROM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a re ply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	December 2000.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		·
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2000 is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority docume 		
Certified copies of the priority docume		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	-	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not	receivea.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	98) 5) Notice of in 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 09/751,353

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 17-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 17, 21, and 25 recite the limitation "the system-specific key". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 9, 12, 13, 16, 17, 19-21, 23-25, 27, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Le et al., US Patent number 5,883,956.

With regard to claims 1, 17, 21, and 25, Le discloses a computer with a cryptographic chip having several cryptographic algorithms (column 7 lines 37-40), and encrypted token (column 5 lines 33-52) in non-volatile memory (column 6 lines 51-53) which when decrypted with system-specific information (column 6 lines 1-7, column 11 line 57-column 12 line 5), enables a desired set of cryptographic capabilities (column 5 lines 53-55).

Application/Control Number: 09/751,353

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claim 3, 20, 24, and 28, Le discloses that the system-specific information cam be the MAC address (column 6 lines 1-4).

With regard to claim 4, 19, 23, and 27, Le discloses hashing the public key with the device ID (column 11 lines 59-64), thus creating a private key. Le also discloses the possibility of using public/private keys (column 14 lines 7-43).

With regard to claims 5, 8, 9, 12, 13, and 16, Le discloses that the system has a default security level, and is being <u>re</u>configured (column 4 line 65-column 5 line 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2, 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, 15, 18, 22, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Le.

With regard to claims 2, 18, 22, and 26, Le discloses a non-volatile memory, as outlined above, but does not specify a FLASH memory. The examiner takes official notice that flash memory is a commonly used type of non-volatile memory. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use Le's cryptographic control in a system using FLASH memory to allow for dynamic capability control.

With regard to claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, and 15, Le discloses the method for initializing cryptographic functionality, as outlined above, but does not go into details of what to do when initialization fails. The examiner takes official notice that not allowing

Art Unit: 2134

9/751,353

functionality or bringing down the system are common results to failed authentication attempts. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use these default, in order to prevent unauthorized initializations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 4